
The following writing prompts comprise Exam 1.

Pick three of the following four prompts.

1. Explain how Moore argued for the following three claims:
 - a. Any attempt to define 'good' in terms of natural properties will fail.
 - b. Whether or not something has intrinsic value is testable.
 - c. Value is not additive but organic.

2. Explain how Korsgaard argued for the following three claims:
 - a. Moore's two distinctions in goodness are incomplete.
 - b. Moore's method for determining things of value is flawed.
 - c. The Kantian notion of "good will" can better capture how to understand goodness.

3. Explain how Mackie argued for the following three claims:
 - a. The existence of objective moral values is dubious given the existence of moral disagreement.
 - b. The existence of objective moral values is dubious given the queerness of how we would come to gain knowledge of them.
 - c. The existence of objective moral values is dubious given the queerness of their action guiding nature.

4. Explain how Ayer argued for the following three claims:
 - a. A meaningful sentence is a verifiable sentence.
 - b. Expressions of moral judgement in language are neither true nor false.
 - c. Moral disagreement can be explained within a shared value system and between contrasting value systems.